

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,886	01/15/2002	Alan F. Washburn	31936	2636
7590 02/23/2004			EXAMINER	
HOVEY, WILLIAMS, TIMMONS & COLLINS			TORRES, ALICIA M	
Suite 400				
2405 Grand			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kansas City, N	1O 64108		3671	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/046,886	WASHBURN, ALAN F.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alicia M Torres	3671			
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2004 FAILS Therefore, further action by the applicant is r final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Not Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF	equired to avoid abandonment of thite be either: (1) a timely filed amendmetice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in			
<u>PERIO</u>	OD FOR REPLY [check either a) or I	p)]			
event, however, will the statutory period for repl	date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set of the expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS 136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 period of extension and the corresponding among the shortened statutory period for reply original	S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP OFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension the	. Appellant's Brief must be filed with reof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	in the period set forth in missal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be	e entered because:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would r	equire further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter	r (see Note below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the issues for appeal; and/or	application in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims with	thout canceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	llowing rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ application in condition for allowance	request for reconsideration has be because:	en considered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be co raised by the Examiner in the final rej		OLELY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed explanation of how the new or amend	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be	e) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,12-15 and 26</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-11 and 16-25.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideratio	n:				
8. The drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
9 Note the attached Information Disclos	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 2. NOTE: With respect to applicant's arguments, from the telephone conference of January 12, 2004, that angle iron 32 of the Smith '299 reference is not a u-joint, angle iron 32 is in fact one of more parts comprising a u-joint which allow the mower deck to pivot through two axes, as can be seen in figures 5 and 6. Since the applicant has failed to present appropriate arguments herein and only referenced the phone conference, the instant amendment will not be entered.

//hd/nl/s 8. Will Supervisory Patent Examinar

Group 3600